

Thank you for your comment, Chuck Bell.

The comment tracking number that has been assigned to your comment is SolarM60242.

Comment Date: September 14, 2009 17:15:34PM
Solar Energy Development PEIS
Comment ID: SolarM60242

First Name: Chuck
Middle Initial:
Last Name: Bell
Organization: Lucerne Valley Economic Development Assoc. (LVEDA)
Address: P. O. Box 193
Address 2:
Address 3:
City: Lucerne Valley
State: CA
Zip: 92356
Country: USA
Email:
Privacy Preference: Don't withhold name or address from public record
Attachment:

Comment Submitted:

In addition to our June 17, 2008 comments: (below)

BLM's CDCA "Contingent Corridor S" should be rejected as a transmission line corridor due to development and resource/environmental protection designations that have occurred since the 1980 plan - plus the multiple private parcels it would traverse. It would cut through the heart of Lucerne Valley's future area of economic development.

LUCERNE VALLEY ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ASSOCIATION (LVEDA)

Re: Solar Energy Development Programmatic EIS – June 17, 2008 - Barstow, Ca.

From: Chuck Bell, Sec.
P. O. Box 193
Lucerne Valley, CA 92356 760 964 3118

Date: June 17, 2008

LVEDA's Mission Statement:

Provide a forum for discussion and action on important community issues – promote infrastructure improvements – work with County and developers to promote development that is both “economic” and compatible with our rural lifestyle, environment and resource availability.

Granted, we have wind and sun which should be shared with our countrymen. But we also have the Mojave Desert which is a treasure unto itself - which cannot be consumed for the benefit of the over-populated urban mess in the coastal basin. We already provide that megalopolis with limestone, cement, aggregate (with its incessant truck traffic), recreation (particularly the resource-consumptive and largest OHV open areas in the world), power line/pipeline corridors, tremendous amounts of acreage for expanding military bases (critical for our nation's defense), public open space, immense areas set-aside for habitat protection, etc. etc. This Programmatic analysis should include a quantitative assessment of the megawatts of solar power that could potentially be generated within the urban areas of demand (ie: roof top and parking lot systems) prior to any further commitment of public land resources to the subsidy of urban areas. It should also take into account the nation-wide options for nuclear plants at locations with sufficient water sources.

This process must include an in-depth survey of Calif. Desert plans and maps – identifying the limited areas available and suitable for solar plants - listing and quantifying the amount of acreage/sq. miles and alignments dedicated to all the land-uses that we already provide s. Calif. - to fully understand why we need a "Solar Energy Siting Element" to our current BLM and County Plans. If this endeavor does that – then it's well worthwhile.

BLM should not displace private sector opportunities – with the cheaper use of gov. land competing w/solar plant options on private land (ie: fallowed agricultural land in s. Cal. counties that cannot otherwise be developed due to water shortages) -

allowing landowners to make the best use of their properties.

We also have to deal with the dilemma "where do we mitigate the impacts of all these proposed projects?"